

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,162	BUEHLER, MARTIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1795	

All Participants:

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(2) Cary Tope McKay.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner left a restriction requirement, as described in the Office action, on the voice mail for Cary Tope McKay on May 12, 2008. By May 19, 2008 there was no response, so the Examiner assumes that a written restriction requirement is requested.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: restriction

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: ____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)